

# Marriage Options: Gay, Polygamous, Pedophilia, Bestiality, or One Man One Woman

---

 [sightedmoon.com/marriage-gay-marriage-beastiality-marriage-polygamous-marriage-pedaphilia-marriage/](http://sightedmoon.com/marriage-gay-marriage-beastiality-marriage-polygamous-marriage-pedaphilia-marriage/)

By Joseph F. Dumond

May 11, 2017



News Letter 5853-008

---

The 1st Year of the 4th Sabbatical Cycle

---

The 22nd year of the Jubilee Cycle

**The Sabbatical Cycle of Sword, Famines and Pestilence**

---

**The 16th day of the 2nd month 5853 years after the creation of Adam**

**The 2nd Month in the First year of the Fourth Sabbatical Cycle**

**The 28th day of Counting the Omer**

**The 4th Sabbatical Cycle after the 119th Jubilee Cycle**

---

**May 13, 2017**

**Dear Royal Family of Yehovah,**

## **This week's topic is Marriage.**

We are counting down the days to Shavuot. We are counting the Omer. We are about to celebrate the events of the giving of the Law at Mount Sinai in 1379 B.C. and the giving of the Holy Spirit in 31 C.E. to the Apostles.

We are in fact counting down the time to review our ketubah with Yehovah.

Last year during the Sabbatical year we read the entire ketubah as we are commanded to do each Sabbatical year at Sukkot. We are now over halfway in counting the Omer.

One of the subjects I have never discussed publicly, I am about to now discuss. I never had the ammo to argue this subject with any intelligence. That has now changed and I am now prepared to argue this subject.

In the Garden of Eden Satan talks with Eve and subtly causes her to doubt her conviction and disobey Yehovah's commandment not to eat of the tree of Knowledge of good and evil.

Gen 3:1 Now the serpent was more cunning than any beast of the field which the Lord God had made. And he said to the woman, "Has God indeed said, 'You shall not eat of every tree of the garden'?"

And the woman said to the serpent, "We may eat the fruit of the trees of the garden; but of the fruit of the tree which is in the midst of the garden, God has said, 'You shall not eat it, nor shall you touch it, lest you die.' "

Then the serpent said to the woman, "You will not surely die. For God knows that in the day you eat of it your eyes will be opened, and you will be like God, knowing good and evil."

So when the woman saw that the tree was good for food, that it was pleasant to the eyes, and a tree desirable to make one wise, she took of its fruit and ate. She also gave to her husband with her, and he ate. Then the eyes of both of them were opened, and they knew that they were naked; and they sewed fig leaves together and made themselves coverings.

Here is what Yehovah actually said as we are told in Genesis 2:15

Then the Lord God took the man and put him in the garden of Eden to tend and keep it. And the Lord God commanded the man, saying, “Of every tree of the garden you may freely eat; but of the tree of the knowledge of good and evil you shall not eat, for in the day that you eat of it you shall surely die.”

Satan has also taken the ketubah between Yehovah and Israel and has twisted it and perverted it so that today no one cares about it. It is used by all groups and respected by next to none. Yet they all want to include it in their self-destructive lifestyles.

I have long sought after the truth and am tired of the church lies I was raised with. Some of them even today are part of my fabric and if they do not sit with Torah then I want to be rid of them. So let us remove the dross from our understandings and let us walk in the truth.

I can remember being taught at church that it was against the commandments of God to have intermarriage between races. This was deeply ingrained in me as a youth. I grew up during the 1960's and 1970's when race riots and protests were common on the nightly news. Yes, I watched Martin Luther King give speeches and I watched again on the news as he was murdered.

It was in 1967 that the US supreme court overturned the law that prevented blacks and whites from marrying each other.

Loving v. Virginia, 388 U.S. 1 (1967), is a landmark civil rights decision of the United States Supreme Court, which invalidated laws prohibiting interracial marriage.

The case was brought by Mildred Loving, a black woman, and Richard Loving, a white man, who had been sentenced to a year in prison in Virginia for marrying each other. Their marriage violated the state's anti-miscegenation statute, the Racial Integrity Act of 1924, which prohibited marriage between people classified as “white” and people classified as “colored”. The Supreme Court's unanimous decision determined that this prohibition was unconstitutional, overruling *Pace v. Alabama* (1883) and ending all race-based legal restrictions on marriage in the United States.

The decision was followed by an increase in interracial marriages in the U.S. and is remembered annually on Loving Day, June 12. It has been the subject of several songs and three movies, including the acclaimed 2016 film *Loving*. Beginning in 2013, it was cited as precedent in U.S. federal court decisions holding restrictions on same-sex marriage in the United States unconstitutional, including in the 2015 Supreme Court decision *Obergefell v. Hodges*.

I was young at this time and admit these things have influenced me in the past.

These beliefs of segregated marriages were backed up in church with the scripture;

Deut 7:1 “When the Lord your God brings you into the land which you go to possess, and has cast out many nations before you, the Hittites and the Girgashites and the Amorites and the Canaanites and the Perizzites and the Hivites and the Jebusites, seven nations greater and mightier than you, and when the Lord your God delivers them over to you, you shall conquer them and utterly destroy them. You shall make no covenant with them nor show mercy to them. Nor shall you make marriages with them. You shall not give your daughter to their son, nor take their daughter for your son. For they will turn your sons away from following Me, to serve other gods; so the anger of the Lord will be aroused against you and destroy you suddenly.

Here is what Julie Coates wrote about this in 2015.

These “biblical” condemnations of interracial marriage are simply false and not, well, biblical. Interracial marriage, especially evidenced by the black-white example in the United States, has been an unfortunate case of culture twisting and replacing theology. There are multiple examples of interracial and interethnic marriages in the Bible that were ordained and blessed by God, and Christians who continue to claim that interracial marriage is wrong are blatantly denying that each person is created in the image of God and are equal in value (Gen 1:27, Rom 10:12, Gal 3:28). They are choosing to ignore multiple instances of God-given interracial marriages in the Bible, including those in Jesus’ family tree, in addition to stagnating the spiritual growth of too many American congregations when we are called to be one with people of all backgrounds (Mt 28:19, Mal 2:10, Jn 13:34).

Matthew lists four women with Gentile associations in Jesus’ genealogy: Tamar, Rahab, Ruth, and Uriah’s widow. This is extremely interesting for two reasons: first, Jewish genealogies were a source of pride to many Jews in order to prove their racial purity, and second, genealogies traditionally only listed men. We can only infer that by drawing attention to not only Gentiles in Jesus’ family tree, but women with Gentile associations, that it is important for us to know that Christ was a product of multiple interethnic marriages Himself. Joseph was rewarded by God with his Egyptian wife Asenath (Gen 41), Esther brought forth life-saving ethnic reconciliation through her marriage to Persian king Xerxes, Song of Solomon (the book of the Bible that solely celebrates marital sex) portrays Solomon with his dark-skinned wife, and when Miriam spoke poorly of her brother Moses for marrying an African woman, God poetically struck her skin with leprosy—turning her skin white with rotting flesh, allowing Miriam to physically feel how unclean whiteness could be in addition to being viewed as a social outcast due to her skin (Num 12).

Do not misunderstand me. In this example, I am pointing out a flawed teaching that the churches have given us. This Ketubah of Mount Sinai was for all people of all races. They all could be part of this marriage contract so long as they agreed to the terms of the ketubah and kept them.

Isaiah 56:1 reads:

Thus says the Lord:  
“Keep justice, and do righteousness,  
For My salvation is about to come,  
And My righteousness to be revealed.  
Blessed is the man who does this,  
And the son of man who lays hold on it;  
Who keeps from defiling the Sabbath,  
And keeps his hand from doing any evil.”  
Do not let the son of the foreigner  
Who has joined himself to the Lord  
Speak, saying,  
“The Lord has utterly separated me from His people”;  
Nor let the eunuch say,  
“Here I am, a dry tree.”  
For thus says the Lord:  
“To the eunuchs who keep My Sabbaths,  
And choose what pleases Me,  
And hold fast My covenant,  
Even to them I will give in My house  
And within My walls a place and a name  
Better than that of sons and daughters;  
I will give them an everlasting name  
That shall not be cut off.  
“Also the sons of the foreigner  
Who join themselves to the Lord, to serve Him,  
And to love the name of the Lord, to be His servants—  
Everyone who keeps from defiling the Sabbath,  
And holds fast My covenant—  
Even them I will bring to My holy mountain,  
And make them joyful in My house of prayer.  
Their burnt offerings and their sacrifices  
Will be accepted on My altar;  
For My house shall be called a house of prayer for all nations.”  
The Lord God, who gathers the outcasts of Israel, says,  
“Yet I will gather to him  
Others besides those who are gathered to him.”

The overturning of the law in 1967 about interracial marriages was then used in 2015 to legalize same-sex marriages across the USA.

Obergefell v. Hodges, 576 U.S. \_\_\_ (2015) (/oʊbərˈfɛl/ oh-b?r-g?-fel), is a landmark United States Supreme Court case in which the Court held in a 5–4 decision that the fundamental right to marry is guaranteed to same-sex couples by both the Due Process Clause and the Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution.[2][3]

In November 2014, following a lengthy series of appeals court rulings from the Fourth, Seventh, Ninth, and Tenth Circuits that state-level bans on same-sex marriage were unconstitutional, the Sixth Circuit ruled that it was bound by Baker v. Nelson and found such bans to be constitutional.

This created a split between circuits and led to an almost inevitable Supreme Court review.

Decided on June 26, 2015, Obergefell overturned Baker and requires all states to issue marriage licenses to same-sex couples and to recognize same-sex marriages validly performed in other jurisdictions.[4] This legalized same-sex marriage throughout the United States, and its possessions and territories. The Court examined the nature of fundamental rights guaranteed to all by the Constitution, the harm done to individuals by delaying the implementation of such rights while the democratic process plays out, and the evolving understanding of discrimination and inequality that has developed greatly since Baker.

Prior to Obergefell, thirty-six states, the District of Columbia, and Guam already issued marriage licenses to same-sex couples.[3] The governor of Puerto Rico announced on June 26 that same sex marriage would begin in that territory within 15 days, and on June 29 and June 30, the governors of the Northern Mariana Islands and the Virgin Islands (respectively) made similar announcements. The status of same-sex marriage in American Samoa remains uncertain.

We have zero examples in the bible of same-sex marriages being justified. We do have many examples and scriptures that condemn this abominable act. I am not going to dwell on it here.

But what does this then open the door to?

Will marriage licenses be granted to those who want to legalize bestiality?

In 2016 the Canadian supreme Court ruled that Bestiality was OK so long as there was no penetration.

A recent article from the *Daily Mail* lamented the troubling new trend of “animal brothels” rising in Germany as many humans are claiming sex with animals is just another “lifestyle choice.”

If marriage contracts are nothing more than a lifestyle choice then soon you will have pedophiles claiming their depravity is also a lifestyle choice and indeed this is already happening.

Marriages to children already take place in the Islamic world. The same religion that prophecy tells us is about to control the world.

And it is this same religion that also currently practices multiple wives or polygamy. So does this mean we can now have multiple wives? And this is the main point of this article.

## **It's Time to Legalize Polygamy**

Why group marriage is the next horizon of social liberalism.

By FREDRIK DEBOER

June 26, 2015

Welcome to the exciting new world of the slippery slope. With the Supreme Court's landmark ruling this Friday legalizing same sex marriage in all 50 states, social liberalism has achieved one of its central goals. A right seemingly unthinkable two decades ago has now been broadly applied to a whole new class of citizens. Following on the rejection of interracial marriage bans in the 20th Century, the Supreme Court decision clearly shows that marriage should be a broadly applicable right—one that forces the government to recognize, as Friday's decision said, a private couple's "love, fidelity, devotion, sacrifice and family."

The question presents itself: Where does the next advance come? The answer is going to make nearly everyone uncomfortable: Now that we've defined that love and devotion and family isn't driven by gender alone, why should it be limited to just two individuals? The most natural advance next for marriage lies in legalized polygamy—yet many of the same people who pressed for marriage equality for gay couples oppose it.

This is not an abstract issue. In Chief Justice John Roberts' dissenting opinion, he remarks, "It is striking how much of the majority's reasoning would apply with equal force to the claim of a fundamental right to plural marriage." As is often the case with critics of polygamy, he neglects to mention why this is a fate to be feared. Polygamy today stands as a taboo just as strong as same sex marriage was several decades ago—it's effectively only discussed as outdated jokes about Utah and Mormons, who banned the practice over 120 years ago.

Yet the moral reasoning behind society's rejection of polygamy remains just as uncomfortable and legally weak as same-sex marriage opposition was until recently.

That's one reason why progressives who reject the case for legal polygamy often don't really appear to have their hearts in it. They seem uncomfortable voicing their objections, clearly unused to being in the position of rejecting the appeals of those who would codify non-traditional relationships in law. They are, without exception, accepting of the right of consenting adults to engage in whatever sexual and romantic relationships they choose, but oppose the formal, legal recognition of those relationships. They're trapped, I suspect, in prior opposition that they voiced from a standpoint of political pragmatism in order to advance the cause of gay marriage.

In doing so, they do real harm to real people. Marriage is not just a formal codification of informal relationships. It's also a defensive system designed to protect the interests of people whose material, economic and emotional security depends on the marriage in question. If my liberal friends recognize the legitimacy of free people who choose to form romantic partnerships with multiple partners, how can they deny them the right to the legal protections marriage affords?

Polyamory is a fact . People are living in group relationships today. The question is not whether they will continue on in those relationships. The question is whether we will grant to them the same

basic recognition we grant to other adults: that love makes marriage, and that the right to marry is exactly that, a right.

Why the opposition, from those who have no interest in preserving “traditional marriage” or forbidding polyamorous relationships? I think the answer has to do with political momentum, with a kind of ad hoc-rejection of polygamy as necessary political concession. And in time, I think it will change.

The marriage equality movement has been both the best and worst thing that could happen for legally sanctioned polygamy. The best, because that movement has required a sustained and effective assault on “traditional marriage” arguments that reflected no particular point of view other than that marriage should stay the same because it’s always been the same. In particular, the notion that procreation and child-rearing are the natural justification for marriage has been dealt a terminal injury. We don’t, after all, ban marriage for those who can’t conceive, or annul marriages that don’t result in children, or make couples pinkie swear that they’ll have kids not too long after they get married. We have insisted instead that the institution exists to enshrine in law a special kind of long-term commitment, and to extend certain essential logistical and legal benefits to those who make that commitment. And rightly so.

Amongst the Hebrew roots groups are people who have been using the bible to justify their position to have multiple wives. Abraham, Jacob, David, Solomon, and others.

Yehovah even said to David, after he sinned with Bathsheba that He would have given David more. That is the argument those pushing for polygamy use.

So let us now look at the scriptures and see just what they do say.

2 Samuel 12:1 Then the Lord sent Nathan to David. And he came to him, and said to him: “There were two men in one city, one rich and the other poor. The rich man had exceedingly many flocks and herds. But the poor man had nothing, except one little ewe lamb which he had bought and nourished; and it grew up together with him and with his children. It ate of his own food and drank from his own cup and lay in his bosom; and it was like a daughter to him. And a traveler came to the rich man, who refused to take from his own flock and from his own herd to prepare one for the wayfaring man who had come to him; but he took the poor man’s lamb and prepared it for the man who had come to him.”

So David’s anger was greatly aroused against the man, and he said to Nathan, “As the Lord lives, the man who has done this shall surely die! And he shall restore fourfold for the lamb, because he did this thing and because he had no pity.”

Then Nathan said to David, “You are the man! Thus says the Lord God of Israel: ‘I anointed you king over Israel, and I delivered you from the hand of Saul. I gave you your master’s house and your master’s wives into your keeping, and gave you the house of Israel and Judah. And if that had been too little, I also would have given you much more! Why have you despised the commandment of the Lord, to do evil in His sight? You have killed Uriah the Hittite with the sword; you have taken his wife to be your wife, and have killed him with the sword of the people



of Ammon. Now therefore, the sword shall never depart from your house, because you have despised Me, and have taken the wife of Uriah the Hittite to be your wife.’ Thus says the Lord: ‘Behold, I will raise up adversity against you from your own house; and I will take your wives before your eyes and give them to your neighbor, and he shall lie with your wives in the sight of this sun. For you did it secretly, but I will do this thing before all Israel, before the sun.’ ” So David said to Nathan, “I have sinned against the Lord.”

Do you see how they have taken this verse out of context and applied it to their cause?

And if that had been too little, I also would have given you much more!

Yehovah was not speaking about the wives but was speaking about all He had done for David and how He would have given him much much more had he of obeyed. And yes Bathsheba was a Hittite and not an Israelite. Today you would have called her a German and not a Jew.

Who can forget the explicit admonition of Moses in Deuteronomy 17:17: *Do not multiply wives or your heart will be led astray!* If this applied to the great kings of Israel, how much more the subjects of the kingdom.

The New Ammo I have recently come to understand comes from my friend Nehemiah Gordon in one of their podcasts.

You can listen to it here at [this link](#) and start to listen at the 49-minute mark.

They are talking about the curtain that hung on the Tabernacle and how they are joined together.

Exodus 26:3 Five curtains shall be coupled to one another, and the other five curtains shall be coupled to one another.

Exodus 36:10 And he coupled five curtains to one another, and the other five curtains he coupled to one another.

This word coupled is the Hebrew word Chabar. Strong’s 2266 and it means to join (literally or figuratively); specifically (by means of spells) to fascinate-charm (-er), be compact, couple (together), have fellowship with, heap up, join self, together, league.

These five curtains are coupled together.

## The Hebrew says Chabar Ish shah aw koth

**H802** 'ishsha<sup>h</sup> na<sup>shi</sup>ym ish-shaw',naw-sheem'

The first form is the feminine of H376 or H582; the second form is an irregular plural; a *woman* (used in the same wide sense as H582).: – [adulter]ess, each, every, female, X many, + none, one, + together, wife, woman. Often unexpressed in English. **H269** 'a<sup>cho</sup>th aw-khoth'

Irregular feminine of H251; a *sister* (used very widely (like H250), literally and figuratively): – (an-) other, sister, together.

This verse is saying a 'woman joined unto her sister.' The curtains in Hebrew is feminine and they are joined as unto her sister. Now go to Lev 18 and read it.

Lev 18:18 Nor shall you take a woman as a rival to her sister, to uncover her nakedness while the other is alive.

What does this mean?

Abraham married his half-sister. Jacob married the sister Leah and Rachel.

Concerning the curtains about taking a woman unto her sister is a Hebrew idiom and means one onto another one, so it does not mean literally a woman unto her sister, but it means one woman onto another woman. Or two women.

**Lev 18:18** Neither<sup>H3808</sup> shalt thou take<sup>H3947</sup> a wife<sup>H802</sup> to<sup>H413</sup> her sister,<sup>H269</sup> to vex<sup>H6887</sup> her, to uncover<sup>H1540</sup> her nakedness,<sup>H6172</sup> beside<sup>H5921</sup> the other in her life<sup>H2416</sup> time.

The next word here is vex

**H6887** tsa<sup>rar</sup> tsaw-rar'

A primitive root; to *cramp*, literally or figuratively, transitively or intransitively: – adversary, (be in) afflict (-ion), besiege, bind (up), (be in, bring) distress, enemy, narrower, oppress, pangs, shut up, be in a strait (trouble), vex.

You are not to take another woman to tsaw rar her. That is to trouble the first woman or to vex her.

So when the first woman is dead then you can take another woman for a wife. It could be her literal sister or just another woman in general.

The Hebrew word for sister wife is tsawrar or one that is a vexer or troubler. Hagar was a sister wife to Sarah.

In the beginning, Yehovah made Eve from man and they became one flesh. It was not Adam and Steve, nor was it Adam and Eve and Mary and Sue.

It was one man and one woman. Any other women in that relationship would have been a vexer (tsawrar) or troubler to the marriage. The Hebrew word for a second wife is tsawrar and should warn you this is not going to be good.

So Lev 18:18 could literally be written as 'You shall not take a sister wife to vex her or trouble her and uncover her nakedness during her lifetime.'

You are not to have multiple wives.

One more scripture to look at.

In Lev 26:25 we read about the ten women baking in one oven. Some use this to justify polygamous marriages.

And I will bring a sword against you that will execute the vengeance of the covenant; when you are gathered together within your cities I will send pestilence among you; and you shall be delivered into the hand of the enemy.

When I have cut off your supply of bread, ten women shall bake your bread in one oven, and they shall bring back your bread by weight, and you shall eat and not be satisfied.

During this time of the end of this age directly in front of us, men will be killed off just as you watched ISIS take all the men and slaughter them and then take the women and rape them.

Ten women will join themselves to one man for protection and to hide their shame from being raped. But this verse in Lev 26:26 could also be read as the Ten Tribes will be consumed in the heat of battle.

The point being it does not endorse nor support polygamy.

One of the ladies wrote this article and it came to my attention. I wanted to share it here with you all. It is controversial for sure. We are talking about the Marriage Ketubah. I want you to think in terms of being Married to Yehovah as you read this.

---

## What about oral and anal sex?

---

I have been asked what I think of oral and anal sex. I am a believing herbalist for over 40 years and a women's torah teacher. Since I write often about women's issues, Scripture, and health, I think this falls within this scope.

Here is my answer-

I have been asked what I think of oral and anal sex. I have been a Scripture believer since

1970 and an herbalist since 1973. I have a natural health counseling business since 1996 named NATURAL HERBAL THERAPY where I help people with their health conditions to overcome with only all natural means. Cancer and AIDS patients are my most frequent clients. Since I write often about women's issues, Scripture, and health, I think this falls within this scope.

Here is my answer-

1. Semen is never mentioned as a food in Genesis, Leviticus 11, or Deuteronomy 14.
2. In case we have forgotten, the purpose of sex is to produce children. The fact that it feels pleasurable was a bonus from YHVH. Believe it or not, some would never "do it" if it did not feel good because of the huge responsibility of having children. There is only one placement in the human body where this can occur- near the cervix and uterus.
3. Semen can be dirty- it can contain bacteria, viruses, fungi, parasites, molds, pus, and urine. Fortunately, the healthy PH of the vagina, cervix, and uterus is more acidic than the rest of body, excluding the stomach when hydrochloric acid is present. This allows these pathogens to be killed as the sperm progresses up to the uterus. The mouth and colon do not kill these pathogens.

**PATHOGENS CAN BE TAKEN DIRECTLY INTO THE BODY BY THE CHEEKS AND THROAT AS WELL AS DIRECTLY INTO THE COLON!**

4. The uterus has amazing elasticity and constantly produces mucus to flush out impurities. The colon does NOT have this ability and even ABSORBS any liquid that comes into contact with it! Homosexuals and bisexuals have learned that the constant pumping of semen, the pounding of ejaculation, and fisting actually BREAK the lining of the colon into what are called fissures. Medically, this is extremely dangerous as undigested ANYTHING getting into the bloodstream from the colon to be taken to the cells as food causes many problems starting with many autoimmune conditions. Autointoxication is the first step towards breaking down the immune system. Anal sex starts this process. Undigested (ANYTHING THAT HAS NOT ENTERED THE MOUTH AND GONE THROUGH THE WHOLE DIGESTIVE PROCESS WITH THE MANY ENZYMES THAT BREAK DOWN SUBSTANCES) anything that gets to the colon walls starts a massive immune reaction. This is how some people get the AIDS virus into their system through the fissures in the colon wall. The mouth TAKES IN whatever is put in it, even absorbing it in the cheeks and of course the throat all the way down through the entire digestive system (See #1 above). Again, semen is not a food.

Many people have gotten HPV and other sexually transmitted diseases from their partners from oral and anal sex, another huge risk. Even converted people can have the HPV virus and other STDS still in their system if formerly vaccinated for them or if formerly promiscuous.

5. In what way is beautiful, tender LOVE shown by shoving a penis down someone's throat so that they can sometimes choke on it? Or get types of pneumonia from the semen thrown into their lungs?

6. If the whole act is to be pleasurable to BOTH LOVING PARTNERS, how is oral sex in any way satisfying/pleasurable/a release to the female?

7. Statistics are very high for women who develop non-smoking, non-alcohol throat cancer to have participated in oral sex at least once! This alone should scare any woman from practicing oral sex. ([WebMD article-Oral Sex Cause of Throat Cancer Rise](#))

8. Since 1996, I have worked with AIDS and HIV+ patients in my counseling business. I have heard many of their stories and heard other stories from nurses I have known who have worked with these patients. The stories that do not get told from the practice of oral or anal sex are horrible. Completely collapsed rectums so that there is no bowel control from the frequent stretching of the sphincter, horrific bowel diseases and conditions from the pumping of semen, abrasive thrusting, penile pathogens, the ballooning of the colon from fisting, unwashed hands, etc. is not spoken of openly. But it is very common! These conditions are now appearing in non-gay so-called normal heterosexual couples people who practice oral and anal sex! These conditions have NOTHING TO DO WITH THE AIDS VIRUS! It has to do with the physical actions of oral and anal sex! This alone should absolutely scare anyone of practicing these perverted forms of sex. ([Cosmopolitan article-Ask Dr.Iris-How Can Anal Sex Harm You](#) and [NHS Choice-Does Anal Sex Have Any Health Risks?](#))

9. Scripturally speaking, the beginning of the practice of oral and anal sex was associated with the worship of Baal-Peor-the god of openings or holes. ( See [Wikipedia under Baal-Peor](#)) The followers of this god used men, women, children, or animals to satisfy their lust. Excrement was also part of their rituals. Torah says to bury excrement immediately after relieving yourself. Deuteronomy 23;13.14. It also says no uncleanness should be seen amongst you in reference to exposing excrement. You cannot avoid touching excrement if you practice anal sex.

10. Just since 1972 and the Club of Rome and all its ensuing charters have lead up to this time of supporting worldwide “rights” to every form of sexual perversion, we are being bombarded with all forms of sexual imagery and perversions to defile our bodies against the will of YHVH in the name of LOVE. It is really LUST and PERVERSION and the trail to human degradation. (See [The great Enlightenment by Joseph Dumond](#))

10. Sodomy – anal AND oral sex- has a definition-

in BOTH the Merriam-Webster Dictionary and the legal dictionary at [legaldictionary.net](#) the definition is the same-

“anal or oral copulation with a member of the same OR OPPOSITE SEX, also copulation with animals”

and has always Scripturally been a sin. They have always been LEGALLY DEFINED as a sin EVEN BETWEEN MARRIED PARTNERS, EVEN BETWEEN MEMBERS OF THE OPPOSITE SEX UNTIL THE EARLY 1970’S! Did you know that even a legally married heterosexual partner could be arrested for having anal or oral sex with his or her legally accepted partner? We are so far off in our present understanding it is hard to believe! The fact that the first two

definitions are grouped with the third should be enough for any Scripture believer to know it is an abomination to YHWH!

11. The marriage bed is to remain undefiled- Hebrews 13:4. Ways to defile it are fornication or adultery. Fornication is “porneia” ( Strong’s Concordance)- illicit sexual intercourse. YHVH considers these two acts as illicit sexual intercourse since He had whole cities destroyed because of these practices at the time of the destruction of SODOM and GOMORRAH!

12. The male and female parts come together in a perfect fit and function with no harm done to either party. Not so with anal and oral sex, let alone the psychological humiliation and degradation, most feel upon practicing it THE FIRST TIME.

13. The desire for anal and oral sex does not come to one upon sexual awakening. It comes after steps of sexual degradation or sexual boredom. It is one of many unnatural uses of the body referred to in Romans 1. Romans 1:26 says even women were doing that which was unnatural with their bodies. This can only be referring to anal or oral sex.

14. Practicing these two sexual perversions promotes asexual thought and behavior which opens the pathway to any type of sexual perversion on ANY age ANY thing- the direction our society is headed right now, ashamedly.

15. Both semen and menstrual waste are considered unclean by the law of YHVH given to Moses once they leave the human body. ( Leviticus 3:17; 7:27; 12:4-7; 15:16-19,25 ;20:8.)We are to touch no unclean thing but wash it away. To take it in as food is utterly disgusting.

16. The fact that we are told people did UNNATURAL things with their bodies defines that there is a NATURAL use of the body in sex. We all know what that is- normal sexual intercourse. Anything ELSE is unnatural and a perversion of the natural.

Read Romans 1:20-31

For since the creation of the world His invisible attributes are clearly seen, being understood by the things that are made, even His eternal power and Godhead, so that they are without excuse, because, although they knew God, they did not glorify Him as God, nor were thankful, but became futile in their thoughts, and their foolish hearts were darkened. Professing to be wise, they became fools, and changed the glory of the incorruptible God into an image made like corruptible man—and birds and four-footed animals and creeping things.

Therefore God also gave them up to uncleanness, in the lusts of their hearts, to dishonor their bodies among themselves, who exchanged the truth of God for the lie, and worshiped and served the creature rather than the Creator, who is blessed forever. Amen.

For this reason God gave them up to vile passions. For even their women exchanged the natural use for what is against nature. Likewise also the men, leaving the natural use of the woman, burned in their lust for one another, men with men committing what is shameful, and receiving in themselves the penalty of their error which was due.

And even as they did not like to retain God in their knowledge, God gave them over to a debased mind, to do those things which are not fitting; being filled with all unrighteousness, sexual immorality, wickedness, covetousness, maliciousness; full of envy, murder, strife, deceit, evil-mindedness; they are whisperers, backbiters, haters of God, violent, proud, boasters, inventors of evil things, disobedient to parents, undiscerning, untrustworthy, unloving, unforgiving, unmerciful; who, knowing the righteous judgment of God, that those who practice such things are deserving of death, not only do the same but also approve of those who practice them.

17. As an advocate and teacher of women and their dignity, you should know that men feel highly superior when they are able to get women, children, or animals to participate in anal and oral sex. In the past, it was ALWAYS thought of as extremely degrading to women to participate in these perversions. Take back your respected position and dignity. Abstain from Scripturally defined perversions of the beautiful act of loving sex. Clean up your sex life. Come up higher and come out of heathenism, paganism, and hedonism. Blessed are the pure in heart!

Lucinda Gibbs Robinson  
Natural Herbal Therapy

—  
Lucinda Gibbs Robinson Natural  
Herbal Therapy  
www.naturalherbaltherapy.info  
naturalherbaltherapy@gmail.com  
828-514-2818  
828-358-0609

Here is the bottom line.

You are betrothed to Yehovah. This is why we celebrate Shavuot. It is the Engagement time when we excepted the proposal of Yehovah. We excepted those terms. We agreed.

Now imagine Yehovah is addicted to porn. He is no longer happy having just one wife, He now wants other wives and we will have to deal with being number two or three or 2000. We have no say in this. Because of His porn addiction, he now wants us to do to him these abominable acts.

We no longer get the pleasure of walking and talking to Him about everything and working with Him. We have now become just an object, a piece of meat so that He can satisfy His own lusts and desires. He has now become the god of Peor.

How would you feel?

You know your body is the Temple of Yehovah. So why do you subject it to the whims of the god of holes? Why do you degrade the sacred marriage act that Yehovah created so that He could bring glory to us through Him?